Improvements in Gas Turbine Performance via Novel Plasma **Spray Coatings offering Protection against Ingested Species**

Work Plan

| | Year 1 | | | | | | | | | | Year 2 | | | | | | | | | | Year 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|------------------------|---|---|-----|-----|---|-----|----|------|--------|----|----|----|------|--------|-------|-----|-----|----|--------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|------|-------|------|-----------|
| Cambridge | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 6 | 6 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 1 | 17 | 18 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 3 | 3 34 | 35 | 36 |
| 2.1 Materials & Specimen Production | | | Α | | | Ī | E | | | | | | | | | T | L | | | | | | | | | | | | T | T | T | 1 | П |
| 2.2 Assessment of Deposition Efficiency | | | В | | | | T | | I | | | | | | J | Ī | | T | T | | | R | | | | | | ٧ | T | | | | |
| 2.4. Effect of CMAS on Sintering & Spallation | | | | | | | T | | F | 1 | | | | | | T | М | T | Т | Г | | Г | | S | | | | | | | | | |
| 2.5 Development of CMAS-resistant Formulations | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Q | | | | | | | W | | | Υ | | |
| | RA (M Shinozaki, 100%) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | \Box | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cranfield | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 36 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2.3 Modelling of CMAS adhesion | | | | С | | | | | t | | | | | | к | | | 1 | | | | | | | Т | | | | T | Х | Z | 1 | П |
| 2.6 Modelling of SPPS Process | Г | Г | П | | T | D | T | | Т | | Н | Г | | | | T | 1 | T | P | T | Т | | Г | | Ē | ī | U | | ╗ | Т | | T | П |
| | RA (unknown, 100%) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | PhD student (unknown, 100%) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | \Box | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ARCI | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 9 | 1 | 0 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 1 | 9 2 | 2 | 22 | 2 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 34 | 4 35 | 36 |
| 2.1 Materials & Specimen Production | Г | | Α | | | T | E | | T | | | | | | | T | L | T | P | | | | | | | | | | T | | | | |
| 2.4. Effect of CMAS on Sintering & Spallation | Г | | | | | | Т | | Т | G | Г | | | | | | | T | Т | Т | | | | S | | | | | | | | | |
| 2.5 Development of CMAS-resistant Formulations | | | | | | | | | | | Ī | | | | | | | 1 | | | Q | | | | | | | W | | | Υ | | |
| | | RA (G Sivakumar , 70%) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | R | A (u | ınk | now | n, | 100 | %) | | | | | | | | | _ | | | | |
| Deliverables | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | 2 | | | 3 | | | Ī | 4 | | | | 5 |
| Quarterly meetings | 1 | 1 | | 2 | | T | 3 | | 4 | | | 5 | | | 6 | | T | 7 | | 8 | Т | | 9 | Г | | 10 | | Т | 11 | | 12 | 2 | \exists |
| Annual reports | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | 2 | | | | | | | _ | | | | 3 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

- Specimen Production and Exchange Procedures Established at Cambridge and ARCI
- B Commissioning of Turbojet Facility for Measurement of Deposition Efficiency completed
- CRA familiar with existing software at Cranfield relating to Particle Impact and Spreading
- Preliminary Model completed for Liquid Precursor and Particle In-flight Dynamics under SPPS conditions
- E Examples of all types of Specimen exchanged between Cambridge & ARCI, and Quality Control establish
- F Microstructural (CMAS Penetration) Characterisation complete
- G Initial trials completed on effect of SPPS Coating Structures on CMAS penetration
- H Nanostructured Coating Formation Simulated via Multi-particle Model
- I Identification of Promising SPPS Precursors for CMAS Resistance
- J Systematic Deposition Efficiency results from Engine Trials conveyed to Cranfield
- K Model for Impingement of Solid CMAS Particles Functional
- L SPPS Coatings on Alumina substrates sent from ARCI to Cambridge, after Exchange Visits
- M Mechanisms established for CMAS Penetration and Sintering Enhancement
- N Study of Effect of CMAS on Erosion Resistance completed
- Model for Semi-solid & Liquid Particle Impact functional and Comparions made with Cambridge results
- P Specimens sent from ARCI to Cranfield for Validation of SPPS Process Simulation
- Preliminary conclusions about "Scavenging" layers, SPPS Structures & Laser Treatments
- R Conclusions reached about Effects of Engine Conditions & Particulate Characteristics on Deposition Effic
- S Final validation of Fracture Mechanics-based Spallation Criterion, as applied to CMAS-enhanced Sinterin
- Incorporation of Effects of Substrate Roughness and Presence of Coating on Adhesion Modelling
- U Final validation of SPPS Model
- V Completed set of experimental results on Particle Adhesion Characteristics sent to Cranfield
- Recommendations finalised for Optimal Counter-measures against CMAS-based Degradation
- X Incorporation of Effect of Substrate Lateral Motion, using discrete phase Lagrangian method
- Industrial Trials completed for Knowledge & Technology Transfer
- **Z** Final conclusions about Measures designed to Inhibit Adhesion of Ingested CMAS

Deliverables:

- 2 Workshop in Cambridge, in collaboration with UK TBC Network
- Report concerning Viability of SPPS for Obtaining Improved Resistance to CMAS-induced Degradation
 Report concerning Viability of "Scavenging" Sprayed Layers, with or without Laser Treatment